

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/205,297	12.04/1998		GEORGE N. VALKANAS	2577-106P	7933
2292	7590	10/23/2002			
BIRCH ST	EWART I	KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		22040-0747	CINTINS, IVARS C		

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1724

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS 23

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/205,297

Valkanas et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Ivars Cintins

Art Unit 1724



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailin	g date of this communication.	
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timery flied, may reduce ally
Status		
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 24, 20	002 ·
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	ition of Claims	
4) X	Claim(s) 27 and 29-48	is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>36-47</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X	Claim(s) 27, 29-35, and 48	is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8)	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)		e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
10,		
4.4.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b). Some* c) None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure	locuments have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a)	3 5 5 7	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	nent(s)	
15 X N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
21 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3: In	information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

claims 27, 29-35 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation that the product has a porosity of "greater than 0.279 cm³/g" (claims 27 and 48, last line) does not appear to be supported by the disclosure originally filed, and hence constitutes new matter. It is noted that Table 1 on page 4 of the specification gives the following values for porosity: 0.294, 0.204, 0.279, 0.319 and 0.477. However, these five disclosed specific values are not deemed to provide support for the recitation of greater than 0.279 cm³/g, since this recitation includes many porosity values above the disclosed upper value of 0.477 cm³/g.

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The term "lest" (line 6) appears to be a typographical error which renders this claim indefinite.

Applicant is advised that an amendment changing "lest" to "least" would overcome this rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meitzner et al. or Vulliez-Sermet et al. Each of these references discloses a macroreticular polymer of the type recited. Claim 48 differs from these references by the recitation of a specific porosity range. However, since the product in each of the references is macroporous, it must

Art Unit: 1724

inherently have a larger porosity than a "normal" resin; and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide either of these reference products with a porosity within the recited range.

Claims 27 and 29-35 would be allowed if limited to a perosity value supported by the disclosure originally filed.

Deissler et al. (U.S. 2002/0042487 A1) is cited to show that macroporous polymers typically have a porosity greater than 0.279 cm^3/g (see paragraph 0052, lines 1-3).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached at (703) 308-1972.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins October 20, 2002